UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,637	12/28/2004	Rodney W. Tyler	1030-018	9141
34060 MICHAEL N.	7590 01/28/2008 HAYNES		EXAM	INER
1341 HUNTERSFIELD CLOSE		SAFAVI, MICHAEL		
KESWICK, VA	A 22947		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
. •	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/519,637	TYLER, RODNEY W.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		M. Safavi	3637		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period fo					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-119,122-161,163,165-175 and 199</u>	is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•		
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>123-161,163,165-175 and 199</u> is/are r	rejected.	•		
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement			
<u>ا</u>	are subject to restriction and/or	ciconon requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	,	•		
	·	anniler. Note the attached t	Since Action of form F 10-132.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:	a bassa bassa sa asabaad			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>		olication No.		
	<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>	•			
	application from the International Bureau	·	, oo waa in tiila watana ataga		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.		
<b>A</b> 440 a <b>b</b>	440)				
<b>Attachmen</b> 1)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thterview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/26/07</u> .	5)  Notice of Info 6)  Other:	ormal Patent Application .		

Application/Control Number: 10/519,637

Art Unit: 3637

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 26, 2007 has been entered.

## Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on October 26, 2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 7,226,240 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/519,637

Art Unit: 3637

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 123-131, 136-161, 163, 165-175, and 199 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 29 and 30 of copending Application No. 11/879,316 in view of Brown '031. The invention defined by claims 29 and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 11/879,316 possesses the elements and features of instant claims 123-131, 136-161, 163, 165-175, and 199 with the exception of a first ratio of said first length to said first major diameter being greater than approximately 10 or 20 or 30 or 40. However, Brown teaches application of a tubular mesh enclosure defining a first length and a first major diameter with a first ratio of said first length to said first major diameter greater than approximately 40, (col. 3, line 62 to col. 4, line 1 as well as col. 3, lines 49-50). Therefore, to have provided the invention of claims 29 and 30 of U.S. Patent Application No. 11/879,316 with a ratio of said first length to said first major diameter greater than approximately 40, thus allowing for application to a greater area of use, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Brown.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claims 132-135 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 29 and 30

Art Unit: 3637

of copending Application No. 11/879,316 in view of Brown '031 as applied above and further in view of Houck et al. '123. The invention defined by claims 29 and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 11/879,316 as modified by Brown possesses the elements and features of instant claims 132-135 with the exception of "an irrigation hose surrounded by said filling". However, Houck et al. '123 teaches application of an irrigation hose surrounded by a filling within a mesh enclosure. Therefore, to have provided the invention of claims 29 and 30 of U.S. Patent Application No. 11/879,316 as modified by Brown with an irrigation hose surrounded by a filling within a mesh enclosure, thus allowing for a more streamline irrigation or drainage, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Houck et al. '123.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

M. Safavi January 16, 2008

